

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/843,687   | 04/30/2001      | Sadami Takeoka       | 43889-937               | 3437            |
| 7590 03/08/2005                                    |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|  | Γ, WILL & EMERY | DO, THUAN V          |                         |                 |
| 600 13th Street, N.W.<br>Washington, DC 20005-3096 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  |                 |                      | 2825                    |                 |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/08/2003 | 5               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No   | . Applicant(s)  |                        |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 09/843,687   | TAKEOKA ET AI   | <b>L.</b>              |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                        |  |  |  |
|   |  | Thuan Do   | 2825  |                        |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication<br>or Reply   | n appears on the cove  | r sheet with the correspondence a   | ddress                 |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howon. a reply within the statutory miperiod will apply and will expire statute. cause the application | vever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ely.<br>communication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| -1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <u>07 January 2005</u> .   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□   | This action is non-fir   | nal.  |                        |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 5)<br>6)<br>7)  | Claim(s) is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,11,12,15,17,19 and 20 a  | hdrawn from conside  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Exa  | miner.   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 11)   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries that the countries of the countries to be the countries of the countries |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | , ,  |  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 1)  Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94   | 4) 🗀   | Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |                        |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Infori   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S<br>rr No(s)/Mail Date  |  | Notice of Informal Patent Application (P  | ГО-152)                |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/843,687

Art Unit: 2825

## **Election/Restriction**

The telephone calls to contact with Michael Fogarty on 03/04/05 had no response and this election restriction is established to response to the one received on 01/07/2005 to correct the claims of groups I and II. Claim 16 is missing and the status is required. Claims 3,4,6,7,9,10,13,14,18 have been canceled.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of 2 groups among: route and calculating species, each of these claims showing a distinct specie:

Group I: claims 1,11,15,17,19 and 20.

Group II: claims 2, 5,8 and 12.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by

Application/Control Number: 09/843,687

Art Unit: 2825

37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-2891.

Thuan Do

Mugado

Primary examiner

03/05/2005